
Israel: The Linchpin of

<u>American Middle-Eastern National Security</u>


EMET Capitol Hill Seminar Series, 4/18/19

BY MARK LANGFAN mapmun@aol.com (646) 263-4606

Today, Israel is as militarily strategically important to America against the waxing Islamist threat to Europe and NATO, as Great Britain was to America against Hitler's 1939 Nazi war machine.




O



On December 13, 2018, US Secretary of State Mike Pompeo remarked with Greek Acting Foreign Minister George Katrougalos that the Eastern Mediterranean is "an important strategic border. The US is working to strengthen our relations with stable democracies and democratic allies there. Allies like Greece, Cyprus and Israel."

From Hitler's invasion of Poland, Sept. 1, 1939, to Japan's attack on Pearl Harbor Dec. 7/ Germany's Declaration of War on America, Dec. 11, 1941, Great Britain stood alone against the indiscriminate civilian bombings by the Nazi genocidal war machine that had already devoured, occupied, and genocidally murdered Western Europe.





But, if one had merely glanced at a 1937 "Air France" map, one would have seen that the only armed force between Hitler's genocidal Nazi Regime and America was the island of Great Britain, and Her Majesty's fleet.





Nevertheless, up until the attack on Pearl Harbor, Dec. 7, 1941, many Americans and politicians strongly agitated against America helping Great Britain, and even advocated for abandoning Great Britain and making a separate peace with Hitler.



Des Moines Speech, September 11, 1939


The three most important groups who have been pressing this country toward war are the British, the Jewish and the Roosevelt administration.

. . . The second major group I mentioned is the Jewish.

... Their [the Jews] greatest danger to this country lies in their large ownership and influence in our motion picture, our press, our radio and our government.


I am not attacking . . . the Jewish . . . people. But I am saying that the leaders of the Jewish race, for reasons which are understandable from their viewpoint as they are inadvisable from ours, for reasons that are not American, wish to involve us in the war.

We cannot blame them for looking out for what they believe to be their own interests, but we also must look out for ours. We cannot allow the natural passions and prejudices of other peoples to lead our country to destruction. Had the United States lost Great Britain to the Nazis in 1941, the United States could not have ever launched the military operations from Great Britain that freed North Africa and Italy from the Nazis.




7


Had Great Britain been lost to the Nazis, Hitler's "Atlantic Wall" would have been the "Atlantic Ocean" rather than the "Atlantic Wall" of the west coast of France. The 1943 Allied Italian Campaign drew off 350,000 German troops from defending against the 1944 Allied invasion of Nazi's "Atlantic Wall" of France.



In June 1944, without the Allied military footholds of North Africa, and Italy, and of Great Britain herself, America could never have successfully launched *Operation Overlord*, or D-Day, the Allied invasion of Nazi-held France at Normandy with 156,000 Allied soldiers that faced 50,000 hardened and dug-in Nazi defenders.




Without America's forward base of Great Britain threatening the core of Hitler's Third Reich itself, Hitler possessed viable plans to invade North America through North Africa and South America.



America, and her "One Ocean Navy", would have faced a Nazi Atlantic/South American invasion without Great Britain, or its fleet, at the same time America would have also faced a Japanese Eastern-hemispheric second-front



And, to add rocket fuel to the fire, Hitler already possessed gamechanging next-next generation rockets that could have soon threatened North America. Hitler's had, in fact, already been fully designed in 1940-1941, and, in 1944, christened "Amerika Rakete." In 1944, Hitler's A-4 (the "V-2") sent 2,200 pounds of high explosives 200 miles into London. With time and resources, Hitler's A-10 would have hurled 2200 pounds of warhead 600 miles; then 1200, 2400 miles into New York City.



In 2019, America has its Nazi-Loving Charles Lindbergh:



In 2019, America has its Islamist-loving Rep. Ilhan Omar:



Des Moines Speech, September 11, 1939


The three most important groups who have been pressing this country toward war are the British, the Jewish and the Roosevelt administration.


. . . The second major group I mentioned is the Jewish.

... Their [the Jews] greatest danger to this country lies in their large ownership and influence in our motion picture, our press, our radio and our government.

I am not attacking . . . the Jewish . . . people. But I am saying that the leaders of the Jewish race, for reasons which are understandable from their viewpoint as they are inadvisable from ours, for reasons that are not American, wish to involve us in the war.

We cannot blame them for looking out for what they believe to be their own interests, but we also must look out for ours. We cannot allow the natural passions and prejudices of other peoples to lead our country to destruction. Now, if we should have studied maps of the Nazi military threat to the US in 1941, let's re-look at the 2019 Middle East Islamist threat map to the US and its NATO allies that pits the 11 million Christians in the NATO-protected-country Greece against the Islamist arc of over 487 million Muslims in the map's borders.






What is the only non-Muslim country (with an army) between the 11 million Greeks that the United States is sworn to defend under NATO, and the 487 million Muslims in the pictured countries that are in various states of either being failed states, becoming failed states, or are already full Islamist states? Israel, and only Israel!

(Cyprus is a non-NATO Christian country of only about 900,000 people which is less than the combined standing armies of Turkey, and Egypt where Turkey already occupies north Cyprus.)


Any "Two-State Solution" would enable Islamist/Palestinian terrorists to smuggle the same type of Gaza small short-range rockets into the West Bank State. Then, the Palestinian terrorists would fire the 40 pound warheads from the West Bank into the Tel Aviv coastal plain.




Now, Gaza rockets can mostly hit most empty farmland; West Bank rockets would hit highly and densely populated cities i.e. Tel Aviv.




In a response to a Palestinian West Bank rocket attack into Tel Aviv, any attempt by Israel to re-invade Palestine would surely provoke an Islamic reaction that would wipe Israel off the face of the Earth.



On December 12, 2017, Yeni Safak, a leading daily Turkish newspaper, considered the mouthpiece of Turkish President Recep Erdogan and his ruling hard-right AKP party, published an article ahead of an OIC Meeting on Jerusalem entitled, "What if a Muslim army was established against Israel? If the member states of the OIC unite militarily, they will form the world's largest and most comprehensive army." Turkey is a NATO member. The article went on to state that "(t)he establishment of a possible Muslim army would ensure that Israel is militarily surrounded."





The same Yeni Safak "Muslim Army" article also quoted Turkish President Erdoğan, the then "term leader of the OIC," as saying, "Those who think Jerusalem belongs to them today will not be able to find a tree to hide behind tomorrow."

SUNNAH.com states Book 54, Hadith 105 (also the basis of the Hamas Charter "Day of Judgment" Article 7) says: Abu Huraira reported Allah's Messenger as saying: The last hour would not come unless the Muslims will fight against the Jews and the Muslims would kill them until the Jews would hide themselves behind a stone or a tree and a stone or a tree would say: Muslim, or the servant of Allah, there is a Jew behind me; come and kill him; but the tree Gharqad would not say, for it is the tree of the Jews.





US Topographer: Israel is Greece and Cyprus' Line of Defense

NYC topotgrapher and recent ZOA awardee Langfan says "Israel is now the heart and soul of NATO's southeastern flank.".

Fern Sidman, A7 NY Cor.

In an exclusive interview with *Arutz Sheva*, New York City topographer and recent ZOA awardee Mark Langfan, responded to statements made by Israeli Deputy Foreign Minister Danny Ayalon while on an official visit to Greece.

According to wire service reports, during a news briefing on Tuesday, Ayalon was asked what Israel's reaction would be to a threat posed by Turkey regarding oil drilling in Cyprus. Ayalon unequivocally stated: "If anyone tries to challenge these drillings, we will meet these challenges." Last month Turkey said it would send naval forces to protect its drilling rights.

During meetings between Ayalon and Greece's deputy foreign minister Dimitri Dollis, the two focused on cooperation between Israel, Greece and Cyprus on the subject of natural gas and their common strategic interests in energy security. A trilateral memorandum of understanding on this issue, as well as the management of water resources has been drafted and is due to be signed soon.

The two officials also agreed to convene a meeting to take place this spring in Salonika with members of the Jewish and Greek diasporas including such countries as the United States, Canada, Australia, France and Britain.

"What these meetings in Greece mean to me is that people are now acknowledging energy issues in this region of the world with the gravitas that they deserve," said Mr. Langfan, who recently released is "Western Theater" graphic, <u>http://www.marklangfan.com/westerntheater2.html</u> which provides concrete evidence that Israel is Greece and Cyprus' one, and only line of defense against an "Islamic tsunami" that has the capability of waging war of Cyprus and Greece.

Speaking in his capacity as an Israeli security analyst, Mr. Langfan said, "without Israel's order of battle and very existence, it would be impossible for NATO to defend Greece, let alone Cyprus, from a Turkish/Muslim Brotherhood tidal wave which would lay waste to everything in the eastern Mediterreanean. Whether NATO likes it or not, Israel is a now the heart and soul of NATO's southeastern flank."

Langfan also noted that Turkish Prime Minister Erdogan issued a direct threat on NATO when he warned on September 27, 2011, "I recommend the international community take the necessary lessons from the Battle of Preveza."

The Battle of Preveza was a 1538 decisive Turkish/Ottoman naval battle against a Papal "Holy League" Pan-Christian Alliance.

Adding that, "NATO and the entire EU now has an absolute vested interest against the establishment of an autonomous Palestinian state in the "West Bank". It has become abundantly clear that if such a state was in existence Hamas would smuggle in thousands of katyusha rockets with chemical warheads that have the power to wipe out the entire Tel Aviv coastal plain that holds 5 million Jews - in one evening."

Mark Langfan's graphics are freely available at his Israel Security website: http://www.marklangfan.com







ΗΜΕΡΗΣΙΑ ΕΦΗΜΕΡΙΔΑ ΣΤΗΝ ΥΠΗΡΕΣΙΑ ΤΟΥ ΚΥΠΡΙΑΚΟΥ

Σενάρια πολέμου μελετά το Ισραήλ

The Next Day on Saturday, November 26, 2011 in *Phileleftheros*, Cyprus' Largest Newspaper, See Page 5 (other side) ΤΟ ΙΕΡΑΗΑ δεν μένει στην πολιτικά αντι περιοχή της Αντολικής Μασσιγατικά αντολικότης Μασσιγατικά ποριοχή της Αντολικής Μασσιγατικά αντολικότης Μασσιγατικά ποριοχή της Αντολικής Μασσιγατικά ποριοχή της Αντολικής Μασσιγατικά ποριοχή της Αντολικής Μασσιγατικά ποριοχή Κασσιγατικά ποριοχή της Αντολικής Μασσιγατικά ποριοχή Κασσιγατικά ποριοχή της Αντολικής Μασσιγατικά ποριοχή Αντολικής Μασσιγατικά ποριοχή αντικά ποριοχή αντικ

ΣΑΒΒΑΤΟ 26 ΝΟΕΜΒΡΙΟΥ 2011 ΕΤΟΣ 560 - ΑΡ. 18712 ΤΙΜΗ €2,50 - ΜΕ ΤΟ GOAL NEWS ΤΙΜΗ 68,00 - ΜΕ ΣΥΝΤΑΓΕΣ ΝΙΝΑΣ ΙΔΡΥΤΗΣ: ΝΙΚΟΣ ΧΡ. ΠΑΤΤΙΧΗΣ ΕΤΟΣ ΙΔΡΥΣΗΣ: 1955 For more information see <u>www.MarkLangfan.com</u>. Contact Mark Langfan at <u>mapmun@aol.com</u> or call (646) 263-4606.

Israel <u>IS</u> NATO's South-Eastern Flank defending Cyprus, Rhodes, Crete, and even Greece, against Turkey and an Iranian-occupied Israel.




U.S. Army 2 RAMA' Israel chemical weapons symbol Hader 22mm capable VX and GB nerve Holds 70% of Jewish Pop-ulation and 80% agents (Janes). of the Indus-📲 trial Base HAMAS WEST BANK GREATER Herzliva Palestinian State IRAN Tel-Aviv **JORDAN** Mediterranean Sea Jerusalem





1. Now, Israel's battle-ready Order of Battle gives NATO a dependable, cost-effective, NATO-friendly shaped Battle Space to protect Greek Cyprus from a Turkish/Muslim Attack and devastation which



2. Iran will first topple Jordan soon after a West Bank State is created. **Hamas** will overthrow Fatah in the West Bank, as they already have overthrown Fatah in Gaza. A West Bank **Hamas** Palestinian State will have tens of thousands of smuggled Katyusha Rockets (including VX (persistent) and GB (Sarin) nerve agents (Janes)) ranging over Tel Aviv and 70% of Israel's Jewish population. On Iranian orders, Hamas will fire barrages of rockets into Tel Aviv and Jerusalem, provoking an Israeli attempt to mobilize to reinvade the West Bank which will trigger massive Arab/Iranian long-range missile strikes.

3. Such a simultaneous Muslim Scud/Katyusha attack from 5 different directions will annihilate Israel. Israel is NATO's, and the West's first, *and only line of defense*, against the Westward advance of the Iranian Caliphate or a Turkish resurrection of its Ottoman Empire. Turkey is trying to steal billions of dollars of Greek Cypriot and Israeli Eastern Mediterranean gas resources to fund billions of further Islamic Mediterranean military build-up.

4. Without Israel's Order of Battle protecting Cyprus' Southeastern Flank and Battle Space, with Turkish frigates and subs infesting the Southern Cyprus waters and cutting off any military reinforcements and with Haifa as Iran's New Eastern Mediterranean Naval Base, Cyprus, Crete, Rhodes and even Greece will be lost to Turkey and the Iranian Shiite Caliphate. Iranian now-existing Shahib mediumrange missiles (1000 miles) will range and cover southern Europe from their new bases in Yafo, Greater Iran. Greece will become indefensible. Iran and/or Turkey will be on Europe's doorstep. Saturday, November 26, 2011



ΣΑΒΒΑΤΟ 26 ΝΟΕΜΒΡΙΟΥ 2011 Ο ΦΙλελεύθερος

Κινήσεις αποτροπής από Ισραήλ

Σχέδια επί χάρτου στη λογική αντιμετώπισης τουρκικής επίθεσης στην περιοχή

ΤΟΥ ΑΝΔΡΕΑ ΠΙΜΠΙΣΙΗ

υρύτερες και πολύ πιο ουσιαστικές προυρυτερες και ποι εκτάσεις φαίνεται να προσλαμβάνει το θέμα των τουρκικών απειλών εις βάρος ποι Ισσαίλ. Στην Κύπρο, η της Κύπρου και κατά του Ισραήλ. Στην Κύπρο, η αντιμετώπιση αυτών των απειλών, λαμβανομένης υπόψη της μικρής στρατιωτικής δύναμης που έχει η χώρα, περιορίζεται σε καθαρά πολιτικό επίπεδο με διαβήματα και παραστάσεις. Αυτό όμως δεν ισχύει στην περίπτωση του Ισραήλ, το οποίο έχει ήδη προχωρήσει και σε άλλα σενάρια που αφορούν στην αντιμετώπιση και αυτής ακόμα της στρατιωτικής απειλής από πλευράς Τουρκίας στα πλαίσια μιας πιθανής συνεργασίας με το Ιράν. Σύμφωνα με τα συγκεκριμένα σενάρια, τα οποία έχουν ετοιμαστεί από ειδικούς αναλυτές και έχουν τεθεί ενώπιον της ισραηλινής κυβέρνησης, απαιτείται εμπλοκή ακόμα και αυτού του ΝΑΤΟ όχι μόνο προς όφελος του εβραϊκού κράτους, αλλά και για την προστασία της Ελλάδας (που είναι μέλος της βορειοατλαντικής συμμαχίας) αλλά και της Κύπρου.

Είναι εμφανές ότι οι Ισραπλινοί δίνουν ιδιαίτερη βαρύτητα στις τουρκικές απειλές, ιδιαίτερα μετά και τα τελευταία ανοίγματα του Τούρκου πρωθυπουργού Ρετζέπ Ταγίπ Ερντογάν στις βορειοαφρικανικές μουσουλμανικές χώρες. Όλα όσα λέγονται από πλευράς Τουρκίας, ακόμα και οι αναφορές στο παρελθόν και την οθωμανική αυτοκρατορία, καταγράφονται και τους δίνεται ιδιαίτερη βαρύτητα από ισραπλινής πλευράς.

Οι χώροι όπου δραστηριοποιούνται εταιρείες για την εξόρυξη φυσικού αερίου θεωρούνται από το Ισραήλ ως περιοχές υψίστης εθνικής ασφαλείας λόγω της σημασίας που έχει το ΦΑ στην ανάπτυξη της οικονομίας του εβραϊκού κράτους. Η παρουσία της «Noble» στο οικόπεδο 12 της κυ-

πριακής Αποκλειστικής Οικονομικής Ζώνης και η διασύνδεση που έχει με την ισραηλινή πλευρά, τη βάζει κάτω από την ομπρέλα προστασίας που θέλει να δημιουργήσει το εβραϊκό κράτος για όλες τις πηγές ενέργειας στην Ανατολική Μεσόγειο ακόμα και μέχρι την Ελλάδα.

Ενδεικτικό της όλης κατάστασης είναι πως το τελευταίο διάστημα όλο

και περίσσότεροι αναλυτές και ειδικοί βγαίνουν μπροστά με δηλώσεις τους και αναφέρονται συνεχώς στους κινδύνους που ελλοχεύουν, όχι μόνο για την περιοχή, από την τουρκική επε κτατική πολιτική. Μεταξύ αυτών είναι και ο Αμερικανός τοπογράφος Μαρκ Λάνγκφαν, ο οποίος έχει ετοιμάσει ουκ ολίγες μελέτες για λογαρια-



Βλέπουν κοινή ναυτική δύναμη

ΕΝΔΕΙΚΤΙΚΟ του ότι οι Ισραηλινοί δεν θεωρούν ότι οι απειλές των Τούρκων είναι μόνο λόγια και κινούμενοι πάντα υπό το φόβο χρήσης των μουσουλμανικών εξτρεμιστικών οργανώσεων για επιθέσεις σε ζωτικούς χώρους, στους οποίους εντάσσονται πλέον και οι εξέδρες εξόρυξης φυσικού αερίου, είναι η απόφαση της ισραηλινής κυβέρνησης να προχωρήσει σε σημαντική ενίσχυση του πολεμικού της ναυτικού. Προς το σκοπό αυτό το Ισραήλ προγραμματίζει την αγορά δύο υποβρυχίων και δύο φρεγατών, τα οποία θα περιπολούν στην περιοχή της Ανατολικής Μεσογείου προστατεύοντας τις πλατφόρμες εξόρυξης φυσικού αερίου. Σ' αυτές περιλαμβάνεται και η εξέδρα της «Noble».

Στα πλαίσια της αναβάθμισης του στόλου του το Ισραήλ έχει ήδη προχωρήσει στη ναυπήγηση στις Ηνωμένες Πολιτείες δύο φρεγατών, ενώ

παράλληλα έχει παραγγείλει από τη Γερμανία δύο ακόμα υποβρύχια της κλάσης Dolpin.

Οι Ισραηλινοί θεωρούν πως παράλληλα με τη δική τους ναυτική ενίσχυση θα πρέπει να γίνουν ανάλογες κινήσεις από κυπριακής πλευράς στα πλαίσια των δυνατοτήτων της Κύπρου. Γι' αυτό και θεωρούν σημαντική την απόκτηση από κυπριακής πλευράς δύο ταχύπλοων σκαφών τα οποία θα ναυπηγηθούν στο Ισραήλ. Τα πλοία αυτά θα αποκτηθούν από την Κυπριακή Δημοκρατία στα πλαίσια της συμφωνίας που θα υπογράψουν πολύ σύντομα οι δύο χώρες για Έρευνα και Διάσωση.

Πρόσθετα σε όλα αυτά είναι η ανάπτυξη της αμυντικής συνεργασίας μεταξύ Ελλάδας και Ισραήλ. Για το σκοπό αυτό εντός Ιανουαρίου θα πραγματοποιηθεί επίσκεψη του Ισραηλινού υπουργού Άμυνας Εχούντ Μπαράκ στην Αθήνα.

Informal English translation of the Phileleftheros dated November 26,2011

Hello Mark, I saw the story when I went through Phileleftheros' weekend editions. It was published on Saturday November 26. The story starts on the first page under the title "Israel examines war scenarios"

The mains story, in three parts, appears on page five. In the first part it mentions that Israel pays special attention to Turkish threats, especially after Erdogan's openings to Muslim countries in North Africa. It notes that recently many analysts and experts refer to the dangers to be watched for not just in the region but also for Europe due to Turkish policies of expansion. Then it refers to statements you have made to the Israeli News Agency on the Israeli's Deputy Foreign Minister's visit to Greece.

The second part refers to Israel's efforts to upgrade its naval forces, in an effort to protect the drilling platforms in the Eastern Mediterranean.

The third part refers to "An extremely interesting analysis by Mark Langfan with graphics noting that the analysis is based on the hypothetical but not impossible scenario of an Iranian control of all Arab states, even the occupation of Israel. Based on this scenario, but also due to Turkish expansionist policies the American topographer and analysts explains the reasons for which NATO must support Israel, which he presents as the power that could protect both Cyprus and Greece from the neo-ottoman expansionist police of Turkey."

"In his analysis he notes that Turkey attempts to steal the natural gas from the Greek Cypriots and Israel, the value of which is many billions of dollars. These billions will be used to fund the military development of Mediterranean Islamic countries."

δά, σημειώνοντας πως για τον ίδιο αυτό δείχνει πως «υπάρχει κατανόπση ότι σκα τα ζητήματα ενέργειας σ' αυτή την περιοχή της υφηλίου αντιμετωπίζονται με θα

τη δέουσα βαρύτητα». Μιλώντας με την ιδιότη τα του στρατιωτικού αναλυτή σημειώνει πως εάν δεν βοηθηθείτο Ισραήλνα αντιμετωπίσει τις τουρκικές απειλές, τότε το ΝΑΤΟ δεν θα είναι σε θέση να αποκρούσει την όποια επίθεση της Τουρκίας κατά της Ελλάδας ή της Κύπρου ή του Ιράν κατά του εβραϊκού κράτους.

σμό της ισραηλινής κυβέρνησης και ειδικότερα

για το υπουργείο Άμυνας της χώρας. Η τελευταία

του μελέτη αφορά αποκλειστικά στους κινδύνους

που ελλοχεύουν στην περιοχή ειδικότερα, αλλά

και στην Ευρώπη ευρύτερα, από μια παράλληλη

στρατιωτική επίθεση τόσο από την Τουρκία όσο

Σε δηλώσεις του στο κρατικό πρα-

κτορείο ειδήσεων του Ισραήλ, ο Λάν-

γκφαν σχολίασε την πρόσφατη επί-

σκεψη του Ισραηλινού υφυπουργού

Εξωτερικών, Ντάνι Αγιαλόν, στην Ελλά-

και από το Ιράν.

Ύψιστης

εθνικής

ασφάλειας

οι περιοχές

όπου γίνονται

γεωτρήσεις

"East Mediterranean Gas Forum" Meets for First Time in Cairo

By Nick Kampouris - Jan 16, 2019 | GreekReporter.com




The first-annual ministerial-level forum was held in Cairo. The energy ministers of Greece, Italy, Cyprus, Israel, Egypt, Jordan and Palestine held their first annual "East Mediterranean Forum" on January 14 and 15 in the Egyptian capital of Cairo. Cyprus, Israel and Greece eye up 'Eastern Mediterranean alliance'

By Martin Hannan March 27, 2019

Greek Cypriot Foreign Minister Nikos Christodoulides emphasised the countries' existing connections.




The deepening cooperation between Greece, Cyprus and Israel in the EastMed pipeline project has gained endorsement from the United States but left Turkey excluded in what could be a major regional energy corridor.




globalsecurity.org as adapted by Mark Langfan

Palestinian West Bank Population 'Explosion' from an Israeli West Bank Retreat, Compared to the Palestinian Population Under the *Status Quo*.








WS-1B/M302/Khaibar-1 Rocket




Fuel Air Bomb: "Poor Man's Nuclear Bomb"- Gas cloud fire-burst causes vacuum instantly rupturing human lungs causing suffocation, and death within minutes. Aka: The "Auschwitz" Bomb








In the wake of Israeli loss and destruction, how many hundreds of billions of dollars and thousands of precious American soldiers' lives will have to be shed to protect the NATO-country Greece, and Italy from being overrun by the Islamist military threat that will fill that vacuum?





And, if the NATO Member Turkish President Erdogan exhorted an Egyptian Muslim Brotherhood Islamist crowd that an Egyptian-Turkish alliance would "form a force of 150 million strong" and "We are substantially surrounding the Mediterranean" in public, one can only imagine what the "real" Islamist Jihadis are saying in private.




Black Gold Triangle Presentation

Mark Langfan, mapmun@aol.com, (646) 263-4606 www.marklangfan.com/blackgoldtrianglepresentation.pptx www.marklangfan.com/blackgoldtrianglepresentation.html









I


OPEC Share of World Crude Oil Reserves 2011



OPEC flows of crude and refined oil, 2006 (1,000 b/d) **View Table**




A secure Israel, with the West Bank, is not the "cause of instability" in the Middle East; but rather, a secure Israel is the sole cause of stability in the Middle East, and a beacon of freedom and democracy. Without Israel acting as an insulating, stabilizing buffer between the unstable Middle-East states, an all Islamist-hell would break loose.




Israel is the only force stopping the Middle East from becoming a Muslimmurder-Muslim Islamist genocidal maelstrom, where the ultimate Islamist winner will be the most violent and brutal Islamist Caliphate left. It would make Syria, by comparison, look like a picnic.

Support of Great Britain in 1939 was true, pure allegiance to the safety, national security, and Constitution of the United States of America.

Support of Israel in 2019 is true, pure allegiance to the safety, national security, and Constitution of the United States of America.



2. Alexander the Great needed the Levant FOB* to defeat the Persians, 331 BCE




ALEXANDER THE GREAT


In 334 BCE, 128 years before the Chinese invented the compass




for merely divining purposes in 206 BCE, Alexander the Great knew that military control over the Holy Land/Levant was absolutely necessary before Alexander projected military power eastward to defeat Darius III at Gaugamela (Northern Iraq) in 331 BCE.



Over the objections of his generals, after the Battle of Issus (South Turkey) 333 BCE, instead of immediately chasing Darius III eastward, Alexander battled south at Tyre to Egypt for 2 years to control and protect his east/west lines of communication/supply to Persia (Iran) 331 BCE.




Bunting Cloverleaf Map, circa 1581



3. Churchill Needed Britain's Jewish FOB* to defeat Hitler's Arabs, May 1941

**Out of Persia's "Love of Germany," in 1935, Reza Shah changed "Persia" to the "Land of the Aryans"-Iran.



Furthermore, unlike their adversaries, the British in the Eastern Mediterranean wallowed in oil. In 1934, an oil pipeline had reached Haifa from the Mosul field in Iraq. This reduced the volume of crude oil shipped through the Canal by 68 percent and further lessened the commercial importance of Suez.17 Haifa was transformed into the "Singapore of the Middle East"-the most modern harbor in the Levant, which included an oil dock, an air terminal, and a light naval base. An oil refinery, completed in June 1940, became the principal source of fuel for the fleet, supplied by a regular tanker service between Haifa and Alexandria. Additional oil was transported by tanker from the Abadan refinery to capacious bunkering stations at Suez.18 Lack of fuel caused Italian battleships to idle in port and Rommel's offensives in the Western Desert literally to run out of gas. While Luftwaffe pilots went untrained for lack of fuel,19 the British spigot of energy flowed with such prodigality that British soldiers in the Western Desert cleaned their uniforms with petrol because it was more plentiful than water.20

Another advantage held by the Allies in the Mediterranean stemmed from the fact that combat there required a complex interaction of land, naval, and air forces unparalleled in any other theater.²¹ This handed the trump in the Mediterranean to the British and ultimately to the Allies. The Royal Navy was the link service, the sine qua non of Mediterranean conflict, for the simple reason that the campaign there was an amphibious one. Also, the fleet bought time for the British army and the RAF in the Mediterranean to achieve a measure of combat effectiveness that would enable it to compete with the enemy. Navies increasingly found it impossible to operate without air cover in the Mediterranean. A new phase in the Mediterranean war came in May 1941, when land-based aircraft forced the Royal Navy to

	1939: Lt. Gen. Erwin Rommel said, "Persia million tons of mineral oil, compared with R	
	1 April: Pro-Nazi Coup d'etat in Iraq	
	17 April: Pro-Nazi Iraqi Government asks G event of a war with Great Britain May 3, Hi done with regard to military support" for the May 5-6 French Admiral Darlan agrees to f	tler ordered, "Everything possible be Iraqi Nazi Coup.
<text><image/><image/><caption><caption></caption></caption></text>	 May 5-6 French Admiral Darian agrees to fistores and bases in Syria. Washington [via U.S. Embassy] May 1, 1941 For Former Naval Person [Winston Churchill from President Roosevelt] 3. In regard to yours of April 29, my thought in regard to eastern Mediterranean is: (a) You have done not only heroic, but very useful work in Greece and the territorial loss is more than compensated for by necessity for enormous German concentration and resulting enormous German losses in men and material. (b) Having sent all men and equipment to Greece you could possibly spare, you have fought a holy justified delaying action and will continue to do so in other parts of the eastern Mediterranean, including North Africa and the Near East. Furthermore, that if additional withdrawals become necessary, they will all be part of the plot of the plan which at this stage of the war shortens British lines, greatly extends the Axis lines, and compels the enemy to expand great quantities of men and equipment. I am satisfied that both here and in Britain public opinion is growing to realize that even if you have to withdraw further in the eastern Mediterranean, you will not allow any great debacle or surrender, and in the last analysis the Naval control of the Indian Ocean and the Atlantic Ocean will in time win the war. (f) Personally, I am not downcast by more spread of Germany for additional large territories. There is little of raw materials in all of them put together not enough to maintain or compensate for 	London [via U.S Embassy] May 3, 1941, 9 p.m./TOR 2:50 A.M., May 4 From Former Naval Person [Winston Churchill] to President Roosevelt Four. Your paragraph three. We must not be too sure that the consequences of the loss of Egypt in the Middle East would not be too sure that the consequences of the loss of Egypt in the Middle East would not be suffering and military dangers that this would entail. We shall fight on whatever happens, but please remember the attitude of Spain, Vichy, Turkey, and Japan maybe finally determined by the outcome of the struggle in this theater of war. I cannot take the view that the loss of Egypt and the Middle East would be a mere preliminary to the successful maintenance of a prolonged oceanic war. I all Europe, the greater part of Asia and Africa became, either by conquest or aggement under duress, a part of the Asis system, a war maintained by the British Isles, the United States, Canada, and Australia against this mighty agglomeration would be hard, long and besitsh Isles, the United States, Canada, and Australia of the gravity of the consequences which may follow from a middle eastern collapse

chuge occupation forces. The exception is oil in Mosul and Iraq and Lassume

WINSTON CHURCHILL

In 1941, with Britain in absolute military control of the Holy Land/Levant, over the objections of his generals, Churchill ordered British forces to fight eastward to overturn a catastrophic Nazi coup-d'état by PM Rashid Ali al-Gaylani in Iraq, and invade the rabidly pro-Nazi, pro-Hitler Iran.



'Black Gold' Triangle's oil

resources were denied to Hitler, and helped power the Allies to the ultimate victory.

For, if, ... No 1936-9 37,000 Mandate Jewish armed soldiers and 435,000 Jews.


- No 1939 British Mandate.

- No 1941 British Mandate FOB 'Hab' Force

- No 1941-45 Allied Iraq/Persia-Iran/Saudi oil.

- Yes 1941-45 Nazi Iraq/Persia-Iran/Saudi oil.

- No Persian Gulf Allied war supply-line to Soviets. Therefore, ... Allies lose. Nazis win.



Source: United States Department Secret Wartime correspondence, 1941

612

FOREIGN RELATIONS, 1941, VOLUME III

PALESTINE

ATTITUDE OF THE UNITED STATES TOWARD ZIONIST AND ARAB AGITATION REGARDING BRITISH POLICY IN THE MIDDLE EAST AND THE FUTURE STATUS OF PALESTINE 1

¹ For previous correspondence on this general subject, see *Foreign Relations*, 1940, vol. 111, pp. 830 ff.

867N.01/17551

The British Minister (Butler) to the Under Secretary of State (Welles)

WASHINGTON, May [June] 4, 1941. Ref. 555/31/41 DEAR MR. UNDER-SECRETARY: You may recollect that on May 8th you passed to the Ambassador a message from Rabbi Stephen S. Wise containing a request that the Jews in Palestine be permitted to form regiments and a Home Guard. We have now received from London a statement of His Majesty's Government's attitude in this connexion. They realise of course that the Jewish desire for the means of self-protection in Palestine is natural, and have been considering how best

to meet it.

2. We have now been authorized to inform you in confidence that they have approved the following proposals submitted by the High Commissioner: 218-

(1) Expansion of the J ewish settlement police to its former strength during the disturbances, with the possibility of future expansion if this is successful.

(2) More intensive training of the special rural constabulary.(3) Expansion on a more restricted scale of the urban special constabulary.

(4) Continued equal opportunity for enlistment into the Palestine Infantry battalions for both Jews and Arabs, and completion of the accepted recruiting programme on the Jewish side irrespective of the rate of enlistment of Arabs.

3. In addition, the possibility is not excluded, provided equipment and assistance are available, of expanding the above on the following lines :---


Conversion of Jewish settlements into strong points.
 Training Jewish settlement police (a) to deal with parachute

troops and minor air borne landings (b) to engage in tank hunting (c) to adopt guerilla tactics against enemy detachments and lines of communication (d) to protect without assistance from British troops or police the Jewish settlements against rebellious Arab bands.


Yours very sincerely,


NEVILE BUTLER


^{21a} Sir Harold A. MacMichael.
























CONCLUSTONS

6. Based solely on military considerations, Israel would equire the following minimum territory to permit a more effective defense against possible conventional Arab attack and errorist raids:

a. The high ground which runs north-south through the center of West Jordan to include the Latrun area and, possibly. Jerusalem and that portion of West Jordan south of Jerusalem and west of the Dead Sea.

b. The Syrian heights which overlook Lake Tiberias and the Israeli-Syrian border to where it interpects with Lebanon.

c. The Gaza Strip.

d. That portion of the Sinai Peninsula south and east of the Wadi el Gerafi, then east to an intersection with the Gulf of Agaba at approximately 29°20' north latitude and the demilitarized zone around Al Awja.

e. Key terrain in the Sinai controlling the Strait of Tiran, provided international guarantees for free passage of the Gulf of Aqaba are not forthcoming.

7. A decision on the proper defensible toundaries for a ountry which is based solely on military considerations would ignore the inescapable political, economic, sociological, and psychological considerations which help determine any state's defensive posture.

Dewngraded to 0

JCS 1887/721-1

CONT 1 - CONFIDENTIAL

APPENDIX

DISCUSSION OF KEY ISBAELI BORDER AREAS

1. (S) The Jordanian West Bank

a. Threat. The Jordan-Israeli border is 330 miles in length extending from the Gulf of Agaba northward to the Dead Sea, thence following the armistice demarcation lines and the Jordan River northward to the Yarmuk River, thence along the Yarmuk River to the Syrian frontier. This border area has traditionally been lightly held by military forces and defenses consisted mainly of small, widely separated outposts and patrols and, therefore, afforded an area where launching of sabot-ur. and terrorists into Israel was relatively easy. During the period January 1965 to February 1967, a total of 53 incidents of sabotage and mining activity took place along this border. These activities resulted in three killed, 10 wounded, and damage to houses, roads, bridges, railroads, and water and electric power installations in Israel. Instances of exchange of small arms fire occurred quite trequently. The materity of these events took place from the Mount Hebres and Aravah areas where the Jordanian authorities did not take sufficient measures to protect against line crosses and substeurs. The high ground running north-south through the middle of west Jordan overlooks Israel's narrow midsection and offers a route for a thrust to the sea which would split the country in two parts. b. Requirement. A boundary along the commanding terrain

overlooking the Jordan River from the west could provide a. shorter defense line. However, as a minimum, Israel would need a defense line generally along the axis Bardala-Tubas-Nablus-Bira-Jerusalem and then to the northern part of the Dead Sea. This line would widen the narrow portion of Israel Appendix

(Revised - 27 June 1967)

	RECOM	MENDATIONS	
8. It is reco			
a. The mer	norandum in 1	the Enclosure,	together with it:
Appendix and	Annez, whic	h reflects the	above concrusions,
be forwarded	to the Secr	etary of before	ise.
b. Copies	of this pape	er be forwards	d to CINCLANT,
USCINCEUR, an	d CINCSTRIK	E/USCINCMEAFGA	
			S officers assigned to
NATO activiti		ion wanded to b	5 Officers assigned to
d. This pa	iper NOT be	forwarded to t	he Chairman, UC
Delegation, U	United Nation	ng Military St	aff Committee.
ction Officers:	Capt P. L. Col J. E. Near East	E. Key, USA Murphy, USN Murphy, USAF Branch, J-5 59831/70254	an San Marina
			DECLASSIFIED

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

15

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30 31

11

11

1 4

14

15

16

17

16

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

DECLASSIFIED

BY VOLTSH

DATE 970700

DECLASSIFIED

BY Jimt Staff


DATE 97.708


and provide additional terrain for the upfense of Tel Aviv. It would provide additional buffer for the air base at Beersheba. In addition, this line would give a portion of the foothills to Israel and avoid interdiction by artillery in the Israel: villages in the lowlands. This line would also provide a shorter 5 defense line than the border of 4 June 1967 and would reduce the Jordanian salient into Israel. It also provides adequate 7 lines of communications for lateral movement. 2. (S) Syrian Territory Contiguous to Israel a. Threat. The border between Syria and Israel extends 10 approximately 43 miles. It extends from a point on the 11 Lebanese-Syrian border east to the vicinity of Baniyas, south 12 to Lake Tiberias, then south along the eastern shore of the 13 lake to the Syrian-Jordanian border. During the period January 14 1965 to February 1967, a total of 28 sabotage and terrorist 15 acts occurred along this border. In addition, there were 16 numerous shellings of villages from the high ground overlooking 17 the area southeast of Lake Tiberias. Cosualties were seven 1 H killed and 18 wounded. Control of the dominant terrain affords 19 Syria a military route of approach into northern Israel; how-20 ever, the greatest threat in this sector is from terrorism and 21 sabotage. 22 b. Requirement. Israel must hold the commanding terrain east 23 of the boundary of 4 June 1967 which overlooks the Galilee area. 24 To provide a defense in-depth, Israel would need a strip about 25 15 miles wide extending from the border of Lebanon to the border of Jordan. This line would provide protection for the Israeli 27 villages on the east bank of Lake Tiberias but would make 28 defending forces east of the lake vulnerable to a severing 29 thrust from Jordan to the southern tip of the lake. The 30 Israelis would probably decide to accept this risk. As a side 31 effect, this line would give the Israelis control of approxi-32 mately 25 miles of the Trans-Arabian Pipeline. 33 Appendix DECLASSIFIED



26

To recap, on December 13, 2018, US Secretary of State Mike Pompeo remarked with Greek Acting Foreign Minister George Katrougalos that the Eastern Mediterranean is "an important strategic border. The US is working to strengthen our relations with stable democracies and democratic allies there. Allies like Greece, Cyprus





A safe and secure Israel is America's and NATO's first, and last, line of defense against an Islamist tidal wave that will attempt to destroy Europe, America, and Western Civilization.

Today, Israel is as militarily strategically important to America against the waxing Islamist threat to Europe and NATO, as Great Britain was to America against Hitler's 1939 Nazi war machine.


Liepaja, Latvia Nazi Massacre of Jewish Civilians, December 15-17, 1941



Jewish women and children from Liepaja stand on the edge of a pit before being murdered, Dec. 15, 1941.



A Latvian policeman known as a 'kicker' walks along the edge of a mass grave filled with the bodies of women and children who had just been shot, December 15-17, 1941. It was the kicker's job to push in the bodies that did not fall into the mass grave during the shooting.



Document 86

CSDIC (UK) SR-REPORT, SRGG 209 [TNA, WO 208/4165]


GEORG NEUFFER - Generalmajor (GOC, 20th Flak Division) - Captured 9 May 43 in Tunisia.

GERHARD BASSENGE - Generalmajor (GOC, Air Defences Tunis/Bizerta) -Captured 9 May 43 in Tunisia. Information received: 10 July 43

NEUFFER: What will they say when they find our graves in POLAND? The OGPU²¹³ can't have done anything worse than that. I myself have seen a convey at LUDOWICE (?)²¹⁴ near MINSK; I must say it was frightful, a horrible sight. There were lorries full of men, women and children quite small children. It is ghastly, this picture. The women, the little children who were, of course, absolutely unsuspecting - frightful! Of course, I didn't watch while they were being murdered. German police stood about with tommy-guns, and - do you know what they had there? Lithuanians, or fellows like that, in the brown uniform,²¹⁵ did it. The German Jews were also sent to the MINSK district, and were gradually killed off, so far as they survived the other treatment. By treatment I mean housing and food and so on. It was done like this: when Jews were taken away from FRANKFURT – they were only notified immediately beforehand - they were allowed to take only a little with them, a hundred marks, otherwise nothing, and then the hundred marks would be demanded from them at the station to pay the fare.²¹⁶ But these things are so well known - if that ever gets known in the world at large – that's why I was so surprised that we got so frightfully worked up over the KATYN case!²¹⁷

BASSENGE: Yes.

NEUFFER: For that's a trifle in comparison to what we have done there.



TRANSCRIPTS OF SECRET CONVERSATIONS 1942 - 4

5 Edited by Sönke Neitzel Foreword by Ian Kershaw